**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**
**ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 2683 OF 2005**
**IN**
**APPEAL (LODG) NO. 920 OF 2005**
**IN**
**ARBITRATION PETITION NO. 280 OF 2003**

M/s. Jay Constructions ] .. Appellant (Ori.Respondent)

Versus

Union of India ] .. Respondent (Ori.Petitioner)

Mr. R.R. Singh for the applicant - appellant.

Mr. Suresh Kumar for the respondent.

**CORAM: R.M. LODHA &**
**S.R. SATHE, JJ.**

**DATED: 01ST SEPTEMBER, 2006**

**P.C. :**

. Heard.

2. For the reasons stated in the Affidavit in support of the Notice of Motion, we are satisfied that delay of 7 days in filing the Appeal is sufficiently explained.

3. We, accordingly, condone the delay in filing the Appeal.

4. Office is directed to process the Appeal and post it for admission.

. Notice of Motion stands disposed of.

Sd/-
**[R.M. LODHA, J.]**

Sd/-
**[S.R. SATHE, J.]**